


Translation and Action Planning Tool

Appendix I



Translation


Select the statement that best describes the overall characteristics of the body of evidence from the team's synthesis and recommendations (Appendix H):

- ☐ Strong & compelling evidence, consistent results ☐ Good but conflicting evidence
☐ Good & consistent evidence ☐ Little or no evidence

What is the level of safety risk associated with the intervention?

- ☐ High ☐ Low

Translation Assessment Flowchart:



Based on the Translation Assessment, select the course of action:

- ☐ Change is indicated (system or process improvement, or practice), go to Section I
☐ Consider a pilot of the change or further investigation for new evidence, go to Section I.
☐ No indication for change or consider further investigation for new evidence, develop a research study or discontinue project, go to Section II.

Translation and Action Planning Tool

Appendix I

Section I: If change is indicated, generate organization-specific recommendations by assessing the best-evidence recommendations for feasibility, fit, and acceptability:

<p>Feasibility Extent to which the team evaluates and believes that the change is low risk, doable, and can be successfully implemented within a given organization or setting.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> The change is low risk.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Few, if any, barriers identified, and the time, effort, and resources to overcome them is reasonable.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Sponsors or leaders share their point of view, endorse and support the change</p>
<p>Fit Compatibility of a change with end-user workflow and consumer expectations; and/or the perceived relevance of the change in addressing the problem and in answering the PICO question within a given practice setting.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> The change aligns with unit and/or departmental priorities.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The change is suitable and seems like a good match with end-user workflow.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The change is applicable to the problem and answers the PICO question.</p>
<p>Acceptability Extent to which stakeholders and organizational leadership perceive the change to be agreeable, palatable, satisfactory, and reasonable.</p>	<p><input type="checkbox"/> The change aligns with organizational priorities.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> The change meets the approval of stakeholders and organizational leadership.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> Stakeholders and leaders like and welcome the change and find it appealing.</p>

Organization-specific recommendations:

Section II: When a change or pilot is not indicated, what, if any, next steps does the EBP team recommend?

Translation and Action Planning Tool

Appendix I

Action Planning		
Complete the following activities to ensure successful implementation:		
<input type="checkbox"/> Secure a project leader <input type="checkbox"/> Identify change champions <input type="checkbox"/> Consider whether translation activities require different or additional members <input type="checkbox"/> Identify objectives and related tasks <input type="checkbox"/> Determine dates to complete tasks <input type="checkbox"/> Identify observable pre and post measures		
Identify strengths that can be leveraged to overcome barriers to ensure the success of the change:		
Resources or Strengths	Barriers	Plan to Overcome Barriers by Leveraging Strengths as Appropriate
Which of the following will be affected by this change? (<i>Select all that apply</i>)		
<input type="checkbox"/> Electronic health record <input type="checkbox"/> Workflow <input type="checkbox"/> Policies and/or procedures <input type="checkbox"/> Other _____		
Identify and secure the resources and/or funding required for translation and implementation: (<i>Check all that apply</i>)		
<div style="display: flex; flex-wrap: wrap;"> <div style="width: 50%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Personnel costs <input type="checkbox"/> Supplies/equipment <input type="checkbox"/> Technology <input type="checkbox"/> Education or further training </div> <div style="width: 50%;"> <input type="checkbox"/> Content or external experts <input type="checkbox"/> Dissemination costs (conference costs, travel) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ </div> </div>		

Translation and Action Planning Tool

Appendix I

Outcomes Measurement Plan				
What is/are the goal(s) of the project?			Desired completion date:	
How will you know if you are successful?	Types of Outcomes	Selected Metrics	Source	Frequency
	<input type="checkbox"/> Clinical (e.g., vital signs, infection rates, fall rates, adverse events)			
	<input type="checkbox"/> Functional (e.g., activities of daily living, quality of life, self-medication administration)			
	<input type="checkbox"/> Perceptual (e.g., satisfaction, care experience, timeliness of response)			
	<input type="checkbox"/> Process/Intervention (e.g., care coordination, immunization, bereavement support)			
	<input type="checkbox"/> Organization/Unit-Based (e.g., staffing levels, length of stay, readmissions)			
Work Breakdown Structure				
High-Level Deliverable	Associated Tasks and Sub-Tasks	Start Date	End Date	Responsible Party

Translation and Action Planning Tool
Appendix I

Translation and Action Planning Tool

Appendix I

See Chapter 11, Lessons from Practice, for examples of completed tools.

Directions for use of the Translation and Action Planning Tool

Purpose:

This tool guides the EBP team through the process of analyzing the best-evidence recommendations for translation into the team's specific setting. The translation process considers the strength, consistency, risk, fit, and acceptability of the best-evidence recommendations. The team uses both critical thinking and clinical reasoning to generate site-specific recommendations.

Translation Section

What is the overall state of the evidence from the team's synthesis and recommendations (Appendix H)?

Consult the Synthesis and Recommendations Tool (Appendix H) and record the group's determination regarding the overall description of the state of the evidence.

What is the level of safety risk associated with the intervention?

Different interventions carry different levels and types of risks. As a group, the EBP team should discuss the potential for harm to patients, staff, or the community associated with the best-evidence recommendations. While other factors, such as monetary risks, may be important, this question refers specifically to dangers related to safety. Select "high" or "low" from the list of options.

Based on the Translation Assessment Flowchart, select the course of action:

Use the Translation Assessment Flowchart to determine the next steps for potential translation. Select the course of action indicated from the flowchart.

If change is indicated, generate organization-specific recommendations by assessing the best-evidence recommendations for feasibility, fit, and acceptability:

The EBP team uses the prompts to assess the feasibility, fit, and acceptability of the best-evidence recommendations to determine the likelihood of successful implementation and to generate recommendations specific to their setting. Feasibility, fit, and acceptability take into account the practice setting's characteristics such as culture, norms, beliefs, structures, priorities, workflow, and resources. Depending on the setting, organization-specific recommendations may mirror the best-evidence recommendations, differ significantly, or be deemed inappropriate for implementation by the organization. List recommendations for the organization in the space provided in a series of actionable and concise statements. If they differ from the best-evidence recommendations, include information for feasibility, fit, and acceptability-related changes.

Feasibility: The extent to which the team evaluates and believes that the change is low risk, doable, and can be successfully implemented within a given organization or setting.

Fit: The compatibility of a change with end-user workflow and consumer expectations; and/or the perceived relevance of the change in addressing the problem and in answering the PICO question within a given practice setting.

Acceptability: The extent to which stakeholders and organizational leadership perceive the change to be agreeable, palatable, satisfactory, and reasonable.

Translation and Action Planning Tool

Appendix I

When a change or pilot is not undertaken, what, if any, next steps does the EBP team recommend?

If the team cannot recommend a change or pilot, record future directions for the project. This might include proposing a research study, waiting until more evidence becomes available, or discontinuing the project altogether.

Action Planning Section

Complete the following activities to ensure successful translation:

This list provides steps to assist the team with completing the practice change(s) associated with their EBP project.

Identify strengths that can be leveraged to overcome barriers to ensure the success of the change:

This analysis allows teams to identify barriers to implementation and potentially mitigate them using inherent strengths and resources. You may find specific challenges that will likely impact the ability to deliver on the action plan. Though these obstacles can get in the way, knowing about them up front is helpful so that you can engage support and create a plan to move forward.

Consider whether or how this change will impact workflows and processes:

This section assists the team in considering the downstream effects of a change. For example, will adjustments need to be made to the electronic medical record to accommodate the change, or will this change impact the workflow of any other staff who have not been considered?

Identify and secure the resources and/or funding required for translation and implementation:

Use this as a guide to consider and plan for financial obligations that may be part of the rollout.

Outcomes Measurement Plan

What is/are the goal(s) of the project?

Record what the team hopes to accomplish by implementing the change(s). These can be high-level statements used to inform the measurement plan and implementation.

Desired completion date:

Record when the team plans to complete the first stage of the project. The team determines the anticipated implementation date and the outcomes data that will be needed to evaluate success. This can be updated throughout implementation to reflect adjustments to the timeline.

How will you know if you are successful?

Use this table to agree upon outcomes the team will collect and analyze to monitor the success of the project. There are different aspects to practice change, and frequently different measures are used to monitor uptake, attitudes, and outcomes. Select as many as the team feels are necessary to gain an accurate picture of ongoing impact. Record the specific metric(s) the team will measure within the outcome categories, how the metrics will be obtained, and how often. Outcomes can be added or changed as the review of the literature is completed and the translation planning begins.

Translation and Action Planning Tool

Appendix I

Metrics let you know whether the change was successful. They have a numerator and a denominator and are typically expressed as rates or percentages. For example, a metric for the measure falls-with-injury would be the number of falls with injury (numerator) divided by 1,000 patient days (denominator). Other examples of metrics include the number of direct care RNs (numerator) on a unit divided by the total number of direct care staff (denominator); or the number of medication errors divided by 1,000 orders.

Work Breakdown Structure:

A Work Breakdown Structure (WBS) is a deliverable-oriented prioritized list of the steps needed to accomplish the project objectives and create the required deliverables.

Consider all the categories of work (high-level deliverables) necessary to implement this change. What tasks must be accomplished first for each deliverable to move forward? When must they be completed to stay on track? For example, if a high-level deliverable is needed to implement a protocol, list all tasks to accomplish it. Record when the team must begin and complete the task, and which member(s) are responsible. If possible, list a specific person or role to create ownership of work.